				Page 1
1		CITY COU		
		CITY OF CH	LCAGO	
2				
		COMMITTEE ON	FINANCE	
3				
	ALDERMAN	EDWARD BURKE, CHA	IRMAN	
4				
		PATRICK O'CONNOR,	Vice-Chairman	
5		PAT DOWELL		
		LESLIE HAIRSTON		
6		RODERICK SAWYER		
		GREGORY MITCHELL		
7	ALDERMAN	MICHELLE HARRIS		
		ANTHONY BEALE		
8		PATRICK THOMPSON		
- 1		GEORGE CARDENAS		
9		MARTY QUINN		
		MATTHEW O'SHEA		
10		MICHAEL ZALEWSKI		
		ROBERTO MALDONADO		
11		WALTER BURNETT		
		JASON ERVIN		
12		ARIEL REBOYRAS		
		SCOTT WAGUESPACK		
13		NICHOLAS SPOSATO		
		MARGARET LAURINO		
14		BRENDAN REILLY		
		THOMAS TUNNEY		
15		JOHN ARENA		
		HARRY OSTERMAN		
16		J. MOORE		
17	ALSO PRESENT:			(1)
18		BRIAN HOPKINS		
		SOPHIA KING		
19		RAYMOND LOPEZ		
		DAVID MOORE		
20		MICHAEL SCOTT		
		GILBERT VILLEGAS		
21	ALDERMAN	JAMES CAPPLEMAN	Oit 11.77	1.3
22			City Hall	
			Council Chambe	ers
23			Tom: 10 00	110
			January 12, 20 10:00 o'clock	
24			IO:OO O.GIOGK	a.III.

1	Managing	Deputy	Commissioner?
2			

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Very good then.

On the motion then by Alderman O'Connor to recommend do pass, is there any discussion?

(No response.)

(No response.)

Hearing none, then all those in favor signify by the usual sign of aye.

(A chorus of ayes.)

Opposed.

(No response.)

In the opinion of the Chair, the ayes have it, and we will notify you about the date and time of the hearing in the enterprise zone.

All right. On Item Number 8 -- are you representing the Department on this item also? Okay. This is an Ordinance that's going to authorize the Commissioner of the Department of Planning to execute a redevelopment agreement with Presence Health Network.

The developer proposes to undertake construction of the Headquarters and Neighborhood Facilities referred to as the project. The project will complete construction of its corporate headquarters at 200 South Wacker Drive and complete construction of the

1	1490 23		
1	Avondale Medical Home and complete construction of the		
2	Belmont-Cragin Medical Home and complete construction of		
3	the Calumet Heights Medical Home and complete		
4	construction of the Cancer Center also.		
5	It would authorize payment or		
6	reimbursement to the developer for the TIF eligible funds		
7	in an amount not to exceed \$5.5 million. The total cost		
8	of the project is 28.7 million, and the total MBE/WBE		
9	project is pegged at 2,300,000. Of this total project,		
10	the developers are bidding 26 percent to MBE contractors		
11	and 6 percent to WBE contractors.		
12	The project is located within the LaSalle		
13	Central Redevelopment Project Area Special Tax Allocation		
14	Fund and affects 42, 2, 8, 33 and 36th Wards.		
15	Any questions of the Department?		
16	(No response.)		
17	Thank you, Commissioner.		
18	Now we have witnesses who have asked to be		
19	heard. Dr. Phalese Ann is it Binion?		
20	DR. BINION: Binion.		
21	CHAIRMAN BURKE: Dr. Binion is with the		
22	Westside Ministers Coalition.		
23	And Aminah Abdullah with the Susan G.		

Komen Organization. Are you present? Why don't you join

us and be ready to follow Dr. Binion and Karen Kent from Local 1? Karen, are you here? Okay. All right.

Doctor, state your name and the organization you represent.

## WHEREUPON:

## PHALESE BINION,

testified before the Committee on Finance as follows:

THE WITNESS: My name is Dr. Phalese Binion, and I'm the CEO and President of the Westside Ministers Coalition.

I just wanted to state that this money is so necessary because any time you're dealing with health services of any kind you're dealing with the quality of lives of individuals. And with me having a bachelor's in radiation therapy and dealing with the center for women with breast cancer and uterine cancer, it makes a difference being able to come into their community and not having to go far away to get services. So I really do beseech you today that you will pass this, and I'm truly in support of.

So many times the families are devastated. The co-workers are devastated. The person who has been afflicted by this is devastated. They're terrified. And so even if being in their own mere surroundings and being

able to have services -- there's not a lot of cancer treatment centers in the Austin community at large.

There just isn't. It's not enough.

And with the dyings and things that are going on in the community these days, please, if you can, vote yes for this. It's gonna make a difference in many lives and in the quality of lives.

On the back end, you really do -- we do save because instead of having to pay for all of the other things -- they even had stated that they were going to -- Presence Health is even going to help out with educating about cancer and eating healthier and those things, so I am truly in support of and I pray that you'll be in support of as well.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Any questions of the Reverend?

Thank you.

Oh, excuse me. Alderman Sposato.

ALDERMAN SPOSATO: Thank you, Chairman.

Good morning, Dr./Reverend, I guess.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

ALDERMAN SPOSATO: Thank you. I just wanted to let you know my first-hand experience, I've had years

1

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

of experience, wasn't quite Presence Health at the time.

It's had multiple, different names throughout the years,

and they certainly take overflow from other hospitals

from the west side mainly.

THE WITNESS: Yes, they do.

ALDERMAN SPOSATO: I can't think of the hospital on Austin and Oak Park over there.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

ALDERMAN SPOSATO: But this hospital helps many, many people, and I thank you for your testimony about all the good things that they do. I want to thank you very much for coming out today.

THE WITNESS: I just want to say it is just a beautiful facility.

ALDERMAN SPOSATO: Yes, it is.

THE WITNESS: We take for granted being able to have health services. We take for granted being able to go to the upper echelon medical facilities. This is a top-notch facility. It makes people feel special, and it makes them want to get better. So I say once again I beseech that you do support this and vote yes.

ALDERMAN SPOSATO: Been there many times, not as a patient, only dropping patients off hundreds of times, and they do so much good over there.

	j		
1	It's not quite in my ward. It's in the		
2	awesome 36th Ward. I wish it was in the 38th, but it's		
3	only a couple block away. It services many people in my		
4	ward and the neighboring northwest side wards.		
5	Thanks for coming out today. Do		
6	appreciate it.		
7	THE WITNESS: And thank you. It saves lives.		
8	ALDERMAN SPOSATO: Thank you, Chairman.		
9	CHAIRMAN BURKE: Thank you.		
10	And now Aminah Abdullah of the Susan G.		
11	Komen Organization.		
12	WHEREUPON:		
13	AMINAH ABDULLAH,		
14	testified before the Committee on Finance as follows:		
15	THE WITNESS: Yes. Good morning. So, again,		
16	my name is Aminah Abdullah. I'm the Director of		
17	community programs and partnerships for Susan G. Komen		
18	Chicago.		
19	In short, we exist to provide quality		
20	breast cancer services to those that need them to fill in		
21	the gap, and we can't do that without our community		
22	partners.		

there's a huge health disparity in Chicago, so

There's no secret that unfortunately

23

unfortunately where you live should not determine if you live.

I echo the same sentiments as she did, that truly it's important to have quality breast -- quality healthcare facilities in your neighborhood, and you shouldn't have to go far to find one.

Presence Health is truly one of our -truly a trusted community partner. Again, our -- we owe
that -- to me we owe that to our citizens. Until we get
quality healthcare for everyone, it should be a right to
all.

I fully support this TIF. I hope you all do too because we should not lose any lives. Fortunately breast cancer is one of those diseases that can be prevented or most of those deaths can be prevented, and we should not have to lose another life because the person cannot get access to quality healthcare.

Again, I just fully urge you all to continue to invest in this project so that we don't have to lose another life to a disease that can be prevented by a screening.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: And please report back to your organization how much the City Council respects the

1.4

2.1

legacy that the Komen organization has created here and across the nation.

THE WITNESS: Appreciate that. A little bragging about us. We are -- we do fund the most research outside of the government, so thank you for saying that.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Keep up the good work. Thank you.

Any questions?

Oh, I'm sorry. Alderman Arena.

ALDERMAN ARENA: Thank you.

Thank you for being here, and we appreciate, as the Chairman said, the Komen Foundation which does advocate for screening and health prevention.

This is a little bit of a mixed issue because we appreciate when organizations as large as Presence Health invest in these things. The challenge is we need them to do that regardless. That's the right thing to do. So building this center is appropriate.

The challenge is the downtown office has very little, if nothing, to do with the fact that they built the center in my eyes. We invested money in office space for administrative services not into the clinic.

If this were an investment into building

the clinic, you'd have my unconditional support. So while I appreciate that, and I think it's important to understand the complexities of how this deal is being tied together to community health centers that were built and should be built as a mission of a Catholic-based community church, community hospital, but the administrative offices in my view have very little to do with the mission of the Komen Foundation.

So while I appreciate everything you do and I'm really happy that this center is available, it's a little bit of a mixed bag.

THE WITNESS: Totally respect that. I understand it. I think we have to think about -- we get the same slack as a non-profit. I know you've heard of about the controversies about our former CEO's salary and things like that, and I always get offended by that because it's important, the people behind the work too and to invest in them as well.

So I can't speak to where all those dollars went and why it was shown there and why they picked that location, but I do know that it's important to have staff and to have the support as well. They can't continue to do their jobs without being vested in too, so it's important to take care of your staff as well

Я

so they can continue to do their job in the community, so I respect that and truly understand it.

ALDERMAN ARENA: Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Alderman Sposato.

ALDERMAN SPOSATO: Thanks again, Chairman.

Thank you, Aminah. Was that your name?

THE WITNESS: You said it.

ALDERMAN SPOSATO: Thank you. Really appreciate it.

Certainly everybody here appreciates and respects the Susan G. Komen organization. You've done so much good stuff, your organization. I appreciate it.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

ALDERMAN SPOSATO: I just want to reiterate what I said about Presence Hospital. It's a place I've been to literally hundreds of times. They've done so much good.

Certainly my ward is on the northwest side. They serve many people on the northwest and the west side and a lot of people that can't pay their bills and don't pay their bills. So I just want to mention that. But thank you very much for coming out and representing Susan G. Komen. Thank you.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

ALDERMAN SPOSATO: Thank you, Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Thank you, Alderman Sposato.

Thank you, Ms. Abdullah.

And now we have the representative of Local 1 H-E-R-E, Karen Kent who I would like to brag a little about before she talks to us.

It was she and our own Alderman Harris who pioneered an ordinance that was adopted here in the City Council just about six months ago which was a pioneering effort on behalf of women employees of hospitality unions who now in Chicago have the benefit of being armed with a warning device that can summon help in the event that a hotel patron is abusive.

As a matter of fact, Ms. Kent has been profiled in Time Magazine.

MS. KENT: Yeah, nationally, all over the country.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: It's gone viral. It is a subject of national reports and analyses. And but for the work of Alderman Harris and the advocacy of Karen Kent this never would have happened.

Chicago became an example of standing up for the rights of women before it has taken on such a

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

cache now. And so it's a great pleasure to welcome back to this witness chair Karen Kent who sat here not that many months ago advocating for Alderman Harris' ordinance.

It gives us an opportunity to say to her and to her very brave members who suffered through a lot of indignities keep up the good work. Don't give up the fight and thank you for permitting Chicago to be in the forefront of this issue.

## WHEREUPON:

## KAREN KENT,

testified before the Committee on Finance as follows:

THE WITNESS: Thank you so much, Chair, for your kind words and to all the members of City Council and to everybody that worked to support that. I can't tell you how much -- how proud I am as a leader in the unions and also somebody from Chicago who -- I'm proud to see Chicago City Council set the pace on this nationally and to have that credit to everybody here, so thank you.

I'm here today to talk a little bit about -- in support of Presence Health projects, and, you know, as a leader of the union for UniteHere, but I also consider myself a healthcare member.

In our union we have a Taft Hartley Fund.

That simply means that we provide healthcare from the union with a board of directors that's run by management representatives and union representatives, so we negotiate healthcare regularly, and UniteHere Health administers jointly with employers in the hospitality industry.

When we negotiated our contracts, my experiences, and I'm sure many of you sort of, you know, are familiar with this, that a lot of the big cost items besides wages are pension plans and healthcare. I will tell you that for our members healthcare is the number one issue bar none, and I spend a lot of time on healthcare. Probably 30 percent of my time some years is spent on that.

some number of years ago, about five years ago, we realized that we had to do something different and change the way that our healthcare was administered, that the costs were dramatically too high and that if we didn't do something to change that we would not be able to negotiate -- all of our money would be going to provide healthcare for members instead of wages or anything else that we wanted to do economically.

We went looking for a partner in healthcare. You know, for us we have about 18,000

2.4

members in Chicago locally who have healthcare under UniteHere Health, and our employers pay the benefits for that, so for a lot of healthcare providers we would make a good partner. People want to have us. It's, you know, a lot of money, and we come to it -- we fund our plan.

We spoke to a lot of different groups, and we talked with Presence about doing it. Presence was interesting to us because of their direct impact in the community, and frankly the mission of Presence is in serving the community, and frankly we see our membership as part of their mission. We are part of the community. Our members live in many of the same areas of the city where Presence provides service, and that seemed to be a natural fit.

In addition, we weren't buying a plan that was off the -- you know, out of a -- in a box. We wanted to make sure that we were able to craft something that worked for the needs of our members in the places that folks live and the issues that our folks face.

We really set out to do something different with them. That was five years ago. Five years ago when we began the first year in a long city-wide contract we were not able to -- our folks did not have raises the first year of our contract. All of

the money went towards increased healthcare costs.

Presence we were able to make a lot of cooperative changes and plan designs that helped us to turn that ship around.

For the last several years, people have

After getting into our partnership with

had increases over the life of this contract. The last increase that people got was .93 an hour. It's about 40 bucks a week. Over the course of a year people have a lot more money for vacations, for their children, to provide other quality of life issues.

That's more money over the life of the contract -- probably roughly \$3 over the life of the contract. So to me that's one of the main things.

I will tell you that, you know, we -- I say that Presence is our bishart (phonetic). Bishart is an English word. It means, you know, your soul mate or your -- it's a meant-to-be. And for us, I think that our relationship with Presence was meant to be.

They have looked at the needs of our members. In particular, some of the things that they've done, they'll help us track trends. You know, if there's a particular condition that our members face, whether it's high blood pressure, diabetes, hypertension, one of

the things that they can do is create -- you know, track services and provide that.

How do we make sure that our members have primary care physicians, that people don't go and get care from the emergency rooms but that they get care from a primary care physician? All of those things are things that help keep down healthcare costs.

They've piloted us -- programs with us on cancer care so that people that have long-term cancer conditions, they'll make, you know, do special programs to help people seek out regular treatments and also help contain the costs over time.

We've looked at providing greater urgent care facilities. That will help us also make sure that people aren't getting care just in the emergency rooms and expanding care in different areas of the city.

I would say -- you know, I had some -when I thought about Catholic healthcare, I wasn't sure
if they would be able to meet the needs of our members
and be able to provide all the services. I can tell you
that having lived with Presence and worked with them as a
partner for several years now it has not been an issue.
We have been able -- they have always found a solution in
our membership, and I hear regularly from members all

over the city and throughout about the arrangements that people have made. And frankly, you know, people -- a lot of different areas of need that people have, and all of them have been quite complimentary about what has been worked out.

I think it's important that people have good care. I think living longer matters. The sooner that you get good, quality, affordable care it makes a difference in the lives of your family and in the lives of our city and the people who live in the wards all over.

I hope that you will join me in supporting Presence. I think it's an important endeavor, and I'm looking forward to all the work that we do in the future together.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Thank you, Karen. Well said.

Questions?

Alderman Harris.

ALDERMAN HARRIS: Thank you.

Thank you, Chairman, earlier for acknowledging Karen for her work with our ordinance.

I think we started the Me Too movement because we are pioneers, but I want to thank you,

Chairman, for your work with the ordinance, Chairman

Burke, for getting the ordinance done and helping us to

move it forward.

Karen, I can't speak highly enough of you and you compromising and working and having a dialogue about how we create and craft the ordinance that works for the City of Chicago.

But I have a question. So your members -you have 17,000 members that are part of Presence. And
so what are the ages? Is it young people? Is it old
people? Who is it?

THE WITNESS: You know, all over. It's our members and their family, and so, you know, we have a wide range of members. You know, the people -- our members look like the City of Chicago.

ALDERMAN HARRIS: So would it be safe to say that there are women that are in their 20s, 30s, 40s, that they're still into reproductive activities?

THE WITNESS: Oh, yeah.

ALDERMAN HARRIS: So have those needs been met?

THE WITNESS: Yes, absolutely. I mean certainly. Women of all ages, men, people of color, immigrants from all walks of life and people that we

	Page 46	
1	represent.	
2	ALDERMAN HARRIS: All right. Thank you.	
3	CHAIRMAN BURKE: Alderman Sposato.	
4	ALDERMAN SPOSATO: Thank you, Chairman.	
5	Thanks for coming out, Karen. Appreciate	
6	it.	
7	Thank you for all you do for the union. I	
8	know a lot of your employees don't really, you know, make	
9	that great much money, a lot of them in the	
10	hospitality industry. It's kind of a low-pay industry.	
11	As a matter of fact, for about a year now my daughter has	
12	been a member of UniteHere Local 1, so I just want to let	
13	you know that.	
14	Thanks for coming out. I know you were	
15	sitting behind me. You heard what I said. Once again,	
16	been to that hospital 100 times at least. They do a	
17	great job. Of course, multiple different names. It	
18	always wasn't Presence. They're a positive in the	
19	community. They help poor people. And, you know, I	
20	support this.	
21	Thank you very much for coming out.	
22	Appreciate your testimony.	

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

ALDERMAN SPOSATO: Thank you, Chairman.

23

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Alderman Laurino.

2

ALDERMAN LAURINO: Thank you. Thank you,

3

Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

come across your desk; is that correct?

4

Thank you, Karen, for the work that you

I think it's important to continue to say

5

do.

6

7

\_

8

9

10

-

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

that you've been able to find solutions to reproductive healthcare issues while working with Presence. You clearly feel that that's something that can be done, that you have done for years and you have a wonderful relationship and are able to solve any problems that have

THE WITNESS: Yeah. I feel very confident about the care. Again, this came up before. I didn't really -- I hadn't really considered it beforehand. And, you know -- but we've had a long-term relationship, and now I've -- you know, we've had examples of people who have -- you know, I've talked to other folks, and Presence has always been a great partner and found a way to address the needs of a variety of reproductive needs but also, you know, different sexuality orientation, identification, a number of different issues that, you know, people --

ALDERMAN LAURINO: And you work together to

find solutions?

1

2.

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

THE WITNESS: Absolutely.

ALDERMAN LAURINO: Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Any other questions of Karen?

Alderman Cappleman.

ALDERMAN CAPPLEMAN: Thank you, Chairman.

I too support this. I actually used to work at St. Joseph's Hospital from 1994 to 1999. I served as a social worker there. I worked in the HIV/AIDS unit. Actually, we had a jar of condoms for the patients because we wanted to prevent the spread of HIV.

My experience with St. Joseph's was just profoundly affirming of everyone whatever their sexual orientation was. I remember a story I had personally as a social worker there. I was eating lunch and I was called to the outpatient surgery. There was a patient there, a transgender woman who did not yet have the bottom surgery to remove her testicles, and she wanted that surgery done while she was getting surgery for some CMI -- CME retinitis, HIV-related, and the hospital couldn't do it. She was very upset, and my job was to address that.

What we did is just -- this is what we did

888-391-3376

at St. Joe's is we said we can't help you but let us provide referral to a place that can do this, and we were able to do that.

2.0

www.veritext.com

Again, St. Joseph's commitment was always to be centered on the patient and the family. That's one of the best places I've ever worked. I've worked at four different hospitals.

I still get my healthcare there. Two days ago I made an appointment for me and my husband to get our medical checkup, and when I said I'm making it for my husband, there's just not a pause. It's just -- they understand, and that's why I feel so comfortable getting my healthcare there, and I'm a feminist, and I feel very comfortable with the work that Presence Health does, so thank you.

Thank you, Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Thank you very much, Alderman Cappleman.

Alderman Lopez.

ALDERMAN LOPEZ: Thank you, Chairman, members of the Committee. Thank you, Karen, for being here this morning. Great to see you again.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

ALDERMAN LOPEZ: I'm glad that you're here

Veritext Legal Solutions

talking about your experience with Presence. I've noticed that there's about 20 other organizations that are joining in support of this Ordinance, organizations like the NAACP, Chicago South Side Chapter, Shining Star Community Service, Catholic Charities and a whole host of others.

Part of what we heard from people who are in opposition is that what I think amounts to is basically a Catholic bias, that Catholics are unable or intolerant to working with people, and I can say that as a Catholic myself. But it seems to me the underlying message that we're getting, and I've heard it from you, my colleagues have heard it from you, the public has heard it from you today, that that is 100 percent unequivocally not the case, so thank you.

One of the letters of opposition to this says that the City must not provide taxpayer dollars to health providers who impose their religious doctrine on low income and other Chicagoans. Would you say that that's an accurate description of what goes on every day at Presence from what you hear from your members?

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean what I hear from my members is so much -- you know, Alderman Cappleman, I have to say that you said -- I mean just about the

affirming nature of the care that's provided, that everybody who comes in, everybody's welcome. Whether it's, you know, in your faith beliefs or not your faith beliefs, the mission of Presence is to serve the community and the people. You know, our members come as they are.

I've never heard anybody say anything but that they felt accepted and cared for and that people have been responsive, that that's the overall mission of -- Presence's, you know, mission is to serve within the community and to make sure, you know, that the community are the people that we represent.

I just -- I can't say enough. I really do feel strongly that I haven't had a case where we have had somebody say that they've been denied service or that they haven't been able to work something out.

Again, I mean HIV or AIDS is so, almost passe, I hate to say that, but it's sort of like, you know, all the time people get provided all kinds of different service, all kinds of, you know, care, and nobody ever, you know, has indicated to me, whether it's, you know, how they identify, transgender, whoever you are, reproductive services, it hasn't -- you know.

ALDERMAN LOPEZ: So I think also one of the

key causes was that the \$5 1/2 million that will be going to Presence for their downtown facilities somehow doesn't translate into the neighborhoods, and I think that's not a fair assessment of what that allows Presence to continue to do and expand to do.

There was another letter that says if Presence receives more funding access to women's health services will decrease even more. I'm not sure I understand how that's possible to decrease when they're able to expand and to increase outreach. But I would love to have an opinion on that sentiment because it seems to almost be logically impossible to say that if they're expanding to the neighborhoods, and even if it is just one service that they may not provide themselves directly, that is not a decrease. It's not an increase, but it's not a decrease while allowing other services to increase into the community. So if you have a comment on that or -- I think your thoughts are pretty self-explanatory on that.

THE WITNESS: Our membership is majority women, you know, of all ages, and, you know, certainly women are responsible for a lot of the care in the families. They're the primary, you know, point of contact in healthcare and providing services. I'm

2.3

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

comfortable that women access more of the care frankly, you know, generally speaking than a lot of the men do, but that said, I'm quite confident about the services.

I think it's, you know -- I'm confident that Presence will be providing more care the more that we are able to direct our members to the locations that are in the areas that -- you know, where they live. That's the main thing -- how do we get people to go where they live.

And, you know, I found -- look, I've found Presence to be a partner in terms of, you know, language. If our members have needs in terms of language or in terms of those services that they need provided, if there's not doctors who represent an area of need, then they've gone above and beyond and found somebody within the system. They've recruited people from other places. Chinese members who, you know, have -- need more -different language skills, and so the same thing for women's health or other areas, right, that we need to focus on. I have a million examples.

I'd like for you to come and spend some time at UniteHere Health with our members and hear firsthand how people feel about it. I think it's an excellent partnership, and I'm confident that we'll do 1 more together, so thank you.

ALDERMAN LOPEZ: I think -- and I'll close with this, Chairman -- I think that, again, the issue here is that everyone has a preconceived notion of what is possible when dealing with Catholic and faith-based institutions. I think if we were to start restricting the amount of public funds that we give to any religious institution, we'd find many of our delegate agencies would be wiped off the map, particularly on the south and west sides.

There was never a question whether or not they are enforcing religious indoctrination, and we don't use that as a litmus test or at least I have not heard it in Budget hearings where we've said that's one of the metricks in which we deal with people.

That being said, I think that this organization as well as others have proven that they have the ability, just like Catholic leaders in this room have proven their ability, to look beyond their faith and do what's right for all the people, and I think that that should not be forgotten in this case.

Thank you, Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Thank you, Alderman.

Alderman Cardenas.

ALDERMAN CARDENAS: Thank you. Thank you, Chairman.

Karen, I know you alluded to the fact that you welcome everybody. Under your current practices of the hospital if someone wanted to get an abortion, is that possible at present?

THE WITNESS: I'm the --

ALDERMAN CARDENAS: No, wait. You said that you welcome everybody. That was your statement. So I want to -- I want you to speak to the issue of abortion for the record and not tell me anything else, just abortion, please.

THE WITNESS: Right. I just, I just want to say I don't work at the hospital.

ALDERMAN CARDENAS: But you're speaking for the hospital today.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm speaking about

Presence Healthcare, and I'm telling you that our members

have come to me and talked about different reproductive

services that they have wanted, and they have worked with

Presence providers to find services and access those

services in the community.

ALDERMAN CARDENAS: So if you're part of the Presence family as my family is -- I have three daughters

God forbid one day something would happen. they've been taken care of pretty much all of their lives at Presence. One day God forbid something happens and one gets pregnant. I would take her to Presence where she will be denied care, where she'll be told that you're not welcome here but we can find you an alternative place for your issue because we can't even talk about that as to what it is -- it's abortion. I think that's the crux of some of us here, that I am surrounded by females, by women I love, and I would want them not to ever feel rejected by anybody under any circumstances, under any system as we fight for the rights of everybody here not just in the city but in this country. We're fighting battle every day with people at the national level under President Trump who seeks to divide us. In this case, that's what it seems to me.

This is a personal issue to me because I see that I want my daughters to have a place, to have a city, to have a country where the decisions they make, there may be consequences but they can never feel that they're being rejected, they're not wanted, they're going to be put someplace else. Leave that to the middle ages. This is 2018.

So I -- help me help you. Help me

1

2

3

4

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

understand how do we bridge that, and I'm a Catholic. But there's some things I disagree with the Catholic church. And the Pope is not going to make a position on my daughters and what's best for them and what I'm going to teach them about life and about how to be acceptable of others and tolerant of others, of other people, of other colors, of other choices that they make. At the end of the day that's a society I want my daughters to be in, to live in, and that's what this is all about -- using federal dollars for something that in part we believe and that we don't believe in.

In Presence if you today are pregnant and you want to have an abortion even though you've been part of that family all your life you can't be helped. I urge all my colleagues to consider that situation. Put yourself in my shoes on that position. All your life you've been at Presence. When they took their temperature, when they went to check your -- and they took care of you when you were born and the after care that went along and the checkups, mostly checkups that went there and year after year went by and this is a place you knew, that you know and some day how many years later you're going to come in that hospital and you're going to be rejected. How do you think that young woman

2.0

is going to feel when that happens? Please enlighten me.

3

4

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE WITNESS: I will tell you that my personal experience -- you know, not too long ago I was in a meeting with one of -- with the CEO Mike Engleheart and he presented to a number of our members who were in the room who live in the community who accessed the care. And he talked about the reflection that Presence is doing, the process of discernment where they're talking about who they -- where they grow next and how they continue to develop. I've been -- I didn't understand until then about discernment or what that meant, but actually kind of taking care and reflecting and not making decisions hastily and taking into account faith and the important principles of what people believe, I can tell you that there are many healthcare providers that I've seen before that have made us feel very unwelcome, that although we pay the same money as everybody else to access services, we've been asked to leave their building or we've been shown -- you know, disrespected.

Our members when we've been with Presence, they have sought out the opinions of our members, they have sought out the needs of our members, and they have sought to demonstrate that they are respectful and caring

1

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and part of the community and people that I hadn't even considered at the time.

I certainly think that it's important for young women to be able to access the care that they need. I'm confident that the people that work at Presence and through this -- you know, at their facilities have gone the extra mile to make sure that our members have the care that they need and that there is not something that they have -- can provide there then they have gone to make sure that people have been able to access the services, and that's a wide variety of services.

ALDERMAN CARDENAS: We just talked about that's not a possibility under the current practices of Presence. Presence, you know, had several acquisitions with other hospitals, so they're having a bigger footprint in bringing it out. That's worrisome where you practice and you provide, you know, service and traditional healthcare and then you apply your religious beliefs to those practices. I find that very troubling, and I'm a Catholic again and say that because if you treat it as a business and someone goes in there to get care, you should take care of those folks and not impose your religious beliefs on what should happen with that person's particular healthcare issue.

So you didn't answer the question, but what you're saying to me is that Presence is a great hospital. They take care of everyone that comes through their doors. But in the case of abortions, they just can't help you. They will find alternative care for you. That's what you said.

THE WITNESS: Our members have certainly accessed -- have had abortions. Our members have had different services that they've been provided throughout, you know, a number of different services. If they're not able to access --

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Alderman Hairston, point of order.

ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: Thank you.

I wasn't sure whether you said they had abortions at Presence. Is that --

THE WITNESS: No. What I'm saying is our members look like everybody else, so women access all kinds of different services in healthcare, and I -- it's been my experience that if Presence is not able to offer some kind of care, whether it's that or something else, then they have worked with our members, but they've always been respectful. We have transgender members, people who have had surgery or haven't had surgery who

were, you know, just so concerned about the treatment that they would --

ALDERMAN CARDENAS: That has nothing to do with abortion.

THE WITNESS: But I'm talking about issues of faith, and I think that the overriding sense from our community, from the membership is that people feel respected. People feel like the healthcare providers -- people want them to have good health. People want them to be able to access the services and that it's the duty of the healthcare providers. They go above and beyond and have made sure that people are able to get it.

ALDERMAN CARDENAS: How do you compare a sex operation with abortion? Please.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Alderman, this witness is here to testify about her experience with Presence and her members from Local 1 HERE. She is not here to testify about the policies of the hospital, only what her members have experienced. We do have a representative of the hospital who can respond to your questions if you so desire.

ALDERMAN CARDENAS: My apologies here. You sounded like you work for the hospital, you represent the hospital the way you were speaking.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: No. No. I think that she clearly --

ALDERMAN CARDENAS: So passionate about Presence, Chairman. I apologize. I thought she worked for Presence.

Outlined at the beginning of her testimony that she is the union leader of one of the most progressive unions in America. We talked about how she was profiled in Time Magazine when this City Council at her initiative passed a ground-breaking ordinance that permits her members now to be supplied with warning devices, the first major city in America, and she also testified about how for the last several years she has partnered with Presence on behalf of her members, how they get their healthcare at the Presence chain, but I think it's not her role to defend the hospital. She can only tell you about her members' experience.

ALDERMAN CARDENAS: Chairman, I stand corrected. In terms -- you know, look, I thought again you worked for -- the way you presented Presence, to be honest with you. I'll wait to hear from Presence on their policies but as far as -- and, you know, when we have these discussions, you sound very passionate about

all the work that you've done, so it sometimes doesn't connect when other things that are also important are not addressed.

So I'll wait for someone from Presence to come, Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Very good.

ALDERMAN CARDENAS: Thank you, Karen. I apologize.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Thank you.

Alderman -- I'm sorry. Alderman

Cappleman.

1

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

ALDERMAN CAPPLEMAN: Point of order.

I'll say a couple things. Number one, when I was a Franciscan Friar I got in trouble for coming out as pro choice. I've been very adamant about that.

But I worked 25 years in the hospital system. I wrote a book on healthcare, and my experience as someone who worked in the hospital system is that when I had a medical issue that was very complex, I went to my physician not to the hospital, and my particular physician is actually an atheist and is pro choice, and that physician would make the referral.

When my husband required surgery for his basal cell carcinoma on his ear, he had to get a referral

to another hospital, and that physician did it in a manner that was very appropriate and very trusting, and we appreciated that.

So I think it's that relationship that that patient has with the physician that's critical and it's that physician who handles that question about abortion to ensure that that patient gets the care that she needs and deserves, and I would trust, just as I know my physician would do, if I did have a daughter who requested an abortion, my physician would refer her to a place where she could get that and as I know he would, and that's why I am in full support of this.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Alderman Arena, did you have a question of this witness?

ALDERMAN ARENA: No. I'll reserve my time for questioning the folks from Presence Health. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Very good.

Alderman O'Shea, did you have a question for this witness?

ALDERMAN O'SHEA: Yes, thank you, Chairman.

Good morning, Karen. I have a couple questions.

How many facilities does Presence have

## 1 WHEREUPON:

## DR. LAURA CONCANNON,

testified before the Committee on Finance as follows:

THE WITNESS: I'm Dr. Laura Concannon, Chief Medical Officer for Presence Saints Mary and Elizabeth Medical Center.

MR. SNYDER: Bill Snyder, Assistant

Vice-President for External Affairs for Presence Health.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Clearly, Doctor, there's been some questions about policies and practices at Presence hospitals. And I'm not going to suggest how you structure your testimony, but it probably would be helpful to address the question of policies at Catholic hospitals, not only Presence Catholic Hospitals but other Catholic hospitals around the area and what happens if a patient presents that needs a procedure that is not permitted under the Catholic hospital policy.

THE WITNESS: As a member of a Catholic health organization, we obviously follow the ethical and religious directives. The real, main focus of the ethical and religious directives are that we are called upon to care for the whole person.

Our specific mission is to go into underserved areas and to address healthcare disparities

and healthcare needs in areas that are underserved. I think it's really important also to stress that regardless of the need somebody comes to us with we accept everyone. We welcome everyone. It is not a place of judgment. That is not our role.

We as part of our policies and as part of our practices discuss all available options with all of our patients regardless of what they come to us for. As part of this, if one of the options that they choose is not something that we provide at one of our facilities, we have developed relationships with partner organizations throughout the city, throughout the state of Illinois that can help us to provide those services to the patients.

We ensure that there is a warm hand-off of care, that we speak directly with the accepting provider and that we in an efficient manner transfer records to that provider so that they can receive the care that they need.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Alderman Cardenas, do you have questions for Dr. Concannon?

ALDERMAN CARDENAS: Well, Chairman, I think

I'm hearing, you know, basically a summary version of the
same thing that we just talked about a few minutes ago.

1.0

If you were listening to what I said and the fact that, you know, my family has been at Presence. Been there for many, many years. I've taken my daughters to their first checks, wellness and follow-ups. And some day God forbid if it were to happen, and I think about that now, by the way, going to Presence with the care that they've provided -- and I'm not saying good or bad, anything about Presence. I'm telling you. This is more of the practices and your policies on something that I think a lot of -- millions of women face, decisions they have to make on their lives on abortions. What you're saying to me is if that would be the case that you would be referred outside of the hospital because you don't do it.

THE WITNESS: Well, there are certainly other medical procedures that might be outside of our scope of practice that are not even in relation to our ethical and religious directives.

So, you know, I see where you're coming from, and I'm a big supporter of primary care and continuity of care being a primary care physician myself. So although we try to preserve wherever we can that continuity, but there may be other procedures, transplant or other tertiary procedures, that we don't do within our health system, and we have the same process for the

hand-off of care for those procedures as well. There would be follow-up after whatever procedure, whether it be a transplant or whether it be an abortion, with their own doctor that they've been following with for years previous to that as well. There's communication between the caregivers all along the way both verbally as well as the transfer of the medical records.

ALDERMAN CARDENAS: Doctor, you have a birth unit, do you not?

THE WITNESS: Yes, labor and delivery unit.

ALDERMAN CARDENAS: So deliveries are made at the hospital?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

ALDERMAN CARDENAS: So you do provide some sort of care for women in that basis?

THE WITNESS: Yes. We're quite busy in that venue, yes.

ALDERMAN CARDENAS: So some women may choose not to follow through on live birth and choose abortion.

Do you provide everything except that piece of it that goes against your particular ethical, you know, policies?

Walk me through your policies.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. What was the last part of your question?

ALDERMAN CARDENAS: Walk me through your ethical policies providing you're seeing somebody from the beginning to the end instead of being recommended to someone else outside of your system. You are a pretty large healthcare system --

THE WITNESS: Yes.

1.0

ALDERMAN CARDENAS: -- are you not?

THE WITNESS: Yes. So as I mentioned, I hope
I'm addressing your question, it is part of our policies,
and we have a specific policy written, that addresses
this warm hand-off of care so that we can ensure that
there's continuity of care and that they're getting the
service offered. We do not refuse it. We do not
discourage it. We do not counsel patients against it.
We open up to whatever they feel like is the best for
their care, and then we make sure that it happens. Does
that address your question?

ALDERMAN CARDENAS: No, it does not. You're saying -- you're evading the question. Do you or do you not provide abortions at Presence?

THE WITNESS: If there is a medical indication and the life of the mother is threatened, then that would take place. But if it's truly an elective abortion, we make sure that through our partner organizations that

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

this occurs and that we do the best and most safe transfer of care between those facilities.

ALDERMAN CARDENAS: Do you provide family planning?

understand. We do not get -- we do not intervene in the relationship between the patient and the physician.

There are many medical indications for birth control. If it's in the medical decision making of that physician caring for that patient or of that provider caring for that patient that is medically indicated, then that is the decision of the provider. We do not intervene in that relationship.

THE WITNESS: This is an important issue to

ALDERMAN CARDENAS: Okay. So the doctors you have on your staff are not -- they're not Presence doctors?

THE WITNESS: Some of our physicians are employed. Most of our physicians are independent.

ALDERMAN CARDENAS: What's the portionality of

that?

THE WITNESS: I would say at Presence Saints
Mary and Elizabeth about 10 percent are employed and
close to 90 percent are independent.

ALDERMAN CARDENAS: What about the other

Presence hospitals?

MR. SNYDER: I'd say that's about right as a ratio across our system.

ALDERMAN CARDENAS: So ten percent?

MR. SNYDER: Yeah, 10 percent of physicians at Presence Health are employed that work with us which is about 400, and then we have about 4,000 independent physicians that we have contractual relationships with.

ALDERMAN CARDENAS: Got it. All right.

Doctors from outside -- we see you. In fact, we use

Presence. We see -- everything that happens with my

family is in a Presence facility. So I don't see how the

doctor can be affiliated but not work for Presence. Yet

we're in the Presence facility.

THE WITNESS: Well, we have an independent medical staff, so physicians from the community are welcome to join our medical staff as long as they meet our quality criteria. We welcome physicians from the community regardless of their religious background or beliefs.

ALDERMAN CARDENAS: So anything that happens at Presence except for abortion, that can happen but that is not --

THE WITNESS: Elective abortions, no, but if

there's a medical indication --

ALDERMAN CARDENAS: It's a choice. It's a woman's choice. It's their decision to make with their bodies.

Chairman, it's not to belabor this. This is why I have an issue with this Ordinance. Providing additional funding for an organization that has by practice or policies something against, that goes against beliefs of many, beliefs that I have for myself today in terms of having options for women. I have three daughters. I want them to have options. If they're going to be in that Presence Health system, I want them to be -- feel like they're part of the family and not rejected when -- if something happens. As always in life, something's always happening.

So if that's the case, you know, I have to revisit my relationship with Presence, but I certainly cannot vote for tax dollars to go to Presence based on this criteria.

Thank you, Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Very good.

Alderman O'Shea.

ALDERMAN O'SHEA: Thank you, Chairman.

Good morning, Doctor. How long has

Presence had a presence in the City of Chicago?

2.3

THE WITNESS: Presence Healthcare has been in the Chicagoland area since roughly 2013 when there was a merger between Resurrection Healthcare and Provena Healthcare.

MR. SNYDER: I would add that those legacy organizations go back almost 150 years, almost to -- preceding the founding of the City of Chicago. We have one of our sisters here, Sister Terri, Sister of Mercy. I think you all are familiar with Mercy Hospital in Bronzeville which is not part of Presence Health but that's been here for about 170 years.

ALDERMAN O'SHEA: How many facilities does Presence have in the City of Chicago?

THE WITNESS: We have 11 acute care hospitals and a multitude of --

MR. SNYDER: That's system wide. In the City of Chicago we have three acute care hospitals. We have about a dozen nursing homes, and then I'll have to get the exact number on physicians' offices, but I believe it's in the range of 60 to 70.

ALDERMAN O'SHEA: And can you tell me some of the communities that you guys have a presence in?

MR. SNYDER: Sure. So St. Joe's on the lake.

Obviously Alderman Cappleman and Alderman Tunney are in that service area with their wards, so that's at Diversey along the lake shore. We are also not technically in the City of Chicago with St. Francis Hospital but that is the primary hospital for Rogers Park on the very far north side of Chicago. Saints Mary and Elizabeth service the west side of Chicago. It sits right at the border of Humbolt Park and West Town, but the service area, as you know with hospitals, it's kind of like the hospital's here and there's a large radius around it. It goes all the way to Austin and the city limits. And Resurrection Medical Center which is on the far northwest side serves Jefferson Park all the way out to the Airport.

Then additionally we have primary care sites all around the city. As you heard from Ms. Kent, we are through this proposed TIF ordinance building a medical home on the far south side at 90th and Stony Island.

ALDERMAN O'SHEA: Would it be fair to say that much of your operations are in the underserved community?

MR. SNYDER: Absolutely. So roughly 40 percent of the patient, of the Presence Health patient population in the City of Chicago, so we're talking hundreds of thousands of people, are either on Medicaid

1.0

or v

or what we call self-pay which means they require financial assistance.

In 2016 we provided \$47,000,000 in charity care throughout the City of Chicago in our service areas to roughly 40,000 individuals. A lot of our resources go to meeting that underserved need.

We've also looked at housing partnerships which I think you've all heard quite a bit about in the press this week -- how do we house, seek partnerships to house homeless individuals. We run the largest behavioral health operation in the state, so we're really thinking about some of the hardest cases, patients that we serve, how can we step in and fill that gap.

ALDERMAN O'SHEA: Can you share with me the demographics, the makeup of your patients throughout all these facilities?

MR. SNYDER: Yeah. Let me just -- I want to make sure I get that correct, so I will quote our demographic information. It varies again by ministry and service area but -- I have it by the sites that are listed in this TIF ordinance.

So Belmont-Cragin, it's one of the largest primary care facilities for the Hispanic community and also the Medicaid community on the west side of Chicago,

so that's at Belmont-Cragin. Roughly 19 percent are patients that are served through Medicaid and 15 percent are served through charity care, so a pretty substantial amount.

The Avondale Medical Home, 35 percent of the patients there do not have a primary care physician. That is a mostly bilingual facility. It serves again a largely Latino population.

Saints Mary and Elizabeth is a majority minority patient population between the African-American community and Garfield Park, Lawndale, Austin and then also the Puerto Rican community and Latino communities in Humbolt Park and further out on the northwest side. I can get you exact demographics if requested.

ALDERMAN O'SHEA: Keep doing what you're doing. Thank you.

MR. SNYDER: Thank you, Alderman.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Any other questions of Dr. -- Alderman Hairston?

ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: It's still morning.

So I think the issue that I have is with access to birth control or lack thereof in communities with, black and brown communities that are and those that are poor where you said that your clinics are located and

for people not to have, women not to have access for their reproductive health. One of the most important decisions that a woman will ever make is the decision whether to or not to reproduce and they do not have that option.

With the project on Wacker -- now do you all have the information on that?

MR. SNYDER: Sure.

ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: Great. 27,000,000 was spent on the project and only 2,000,000 for MBE/WBE?

MR. SNYDER: The 2,000,000 is related to the

13,000,000 that was spent on the office system.

ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: So of the 27,000,000 for the project, how much was spent on MBE/WBE?

MR. SNYDER: I apologize. I don't have the MBE/WBE numbers in front of me, but we do have Joe Williams and Dan Zirilla (phonetic) who can answer those questions for you.

ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: I thought it was stated earlier that it was 2,000,000 in MBE/WBE, breaking it down 20 percent and 6 percent which is below the City's standards.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Your Honor --

ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Alderman, I think that may be referring to the amount of the TIF support which is 5,000,000 and some dollars. If I misspoke, I apologize.

ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: No. This was at the beginning of the hearing.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: I know. I think what I said according to what the analysis was the total of the WB/MBE was \$2,326,661 and that I believe relates --

ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: 20 percent and 6 percent for WBE --

CHAIRMAN BURKE: -- to the \$5,553,000 in TIF reimbursement not the overall project. They're spending 27,000,000 overall for the clinics that Alderman Harris referred to, 5.5 million in City TIF. And of that 5.5 million, the 2.326 was committed to MBE contractors and WBE contractors.

ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: So that would be the 20 percent that they spoke of and 6 percent, so still below the City's standards. Okay.

What was the basis for getting the TIF dollars? I don't consider Wacker to be a blighted area. So what was the --

MR. SNYDER: I think that's a great question.

So when Presence Health formed roughly six years ago, six

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

and a half years ago, we pursued a number of different options for our new corporate headquarters. So the legacy systems that formed Presence Health were Resurrection Healthcare which was headquartered out on Talcott and then Provena Health which is headquartered in Mokena in the Bolingbrook area of Illinois.

Our board of directors and our founding congregation of sisters came together and said where can we have the greatest impact with our resources, our limited resources because as has been brought up, we're the highest Medicaid provider in the state.

ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: And that was Wacker?

MR. SNYDER: I'm sorry?

ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: And that was Wacker?

MR. SNYDER: No, it wasn't. What happened was we had a negotiation with the Mayor's Office and the leadership of this City to say could we offset the difference in the suburban office to be located downtown because we want to make a statement of commitment to the City of Chicago and could we use those funds to free up capital funds to prioritize investments.

ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: So your statement -- I mean to show that you're in Chicago means you have to be on Wacker?

2.0

MR. SNYDER: No.

.

-1

ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: I'm just trying to understand. So what was the basis? Is it blight? What was the but for test?

MR. SNYDER: So we worked in partnership with the Mayor's Office and DPD and other leaders in the City to identify --

ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: Who are the leaders in the City? That's very general.

MR. SNYDER: Unfortunately it predates my time in Presence Health, but I know there have been a number of folks. People move through jobs and departments in the City, so I'm sorry I can't recall names.

ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: So what I need to know is what was the but for test. But for needing this money that Presence would not be able to operate in the City of Chicago. That's what I need to know. Because Wacker Drive is not a blighted area. It is not a conservation area. You know, they don't even own the building.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Let me suggest that we bring Law and Planning back to answer that question.

ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: Okay. All right. So then
I will ask questions when they come because my project -my questions are really about the TIF money.

1 CHAIRMAN BURKE: Okay.

ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Very good.

Anything else of these witnesses?

Alderman Arena.

ALDERMAN ARENA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Thanks for coming before us. So earlier you referenced the ethical and religious directives for Catholic healthcare services, and you didn't get specific but I'm going to be specific here. Those directives say birth control or any other contraceptive practice cannot be promoted or condoned. Abortion is never permitted, and temporary or permanent sterilization procedures should not be performed by doctors. That's a directive that when the doctor is operating in one of your facilities is expected to adhere to.

So that runs in conflict to some of your testimony that says you -- I believe you literally said that there would be consultation on contraceptive practice which this says cannot be promoted or condoned. I point this out because the relationship between the patient and the doctor is very influential.

My concern is that what I see with the expansion of Presence Health that administers these

practices is being one in three hospital beds in a Catholic hospital in Illinois is a Presence Health bed. Nationally one in six is a Catholic hospital. So the infiltration of religious doctrine into healthcare and written large for me into other business practices and government practices is of concern.

When an institution comes and says we want public dollars and those public dollars are being given to an institution that says, well, the separation between church and state really doesn't apply here, your religious doctrine being applied to how healthcare is provided is a concern to me, and this is where I stand in opposition of this kind of brand because that's from the top of the organization down.

I said earlier the -- I don't think it would be understated the influence of doctors and where someone can get healthcare and especially women in underserved community have very few options these days because of consolidation and profitability being the motive of organizations like yours and other hospital systems that is dismantling the opportunities. I'll point to a specific instance in my experience working with Presence when it purchased Resurrection.

Resurrection Hospital is sited at or was sited at Central

2.0

and Addison.

MR. SNYDER: That's Our Lady of the Resurrection.

ALDERMAN ARENA: Our Lady of the Resurrection.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Well, the fact of the matter is Presence did not purchase Our Lady of the Resurrection.

ALDERMAN ARENA: Yes, it did.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: There was a coming together of two separate hospital entities.

There was -- two came together. That hospital fell underneath this umbrella, and its business practice at that time was to dismantle the structure of that hospital organization of the profit centers like testing and MRI services and other ancillary services to create a financial structure around the hospital that said that it wasn't profitable, and what was recommended at that time -- and I was in the meetings with the community, multiple other aldermen and other community leaders when we were told, well, this hospital is not profitable; your community is going to have to go down to St. Mary's which is about eight miles away, further away from Jefferson Park than this hospital which is two miles or one mile.

So what I saw was a business practice that said, well, we're going to take healthcare and we're going to look at what's profitable and make what's not not profitable instead of what I believe would be the Christian thing to do is to say we provide services and we want to find ways to do it. And I see this written large in the healthcare system of the dismantling of this type of operation.

So my concern is that we're putting \$5.5 million into a downtown office space and how that money is used and whether it's really needed is in question for me, and I'm hoping to hear more about the but for test.

But my experience with Presence is that this is where I would want to see our public dollars prioritizied. So I have a daughter. My wife looks for these services. My daughter may look for these services. That relationship has to be between her and her doctor whether she's in a Presence Health office or a non-Presence Health office. My concern is that we make sure that we are not supporting a structure that seems to be growing here in Illinois, here in Chicago and around this country that says, well, we're not going to do that. We'll send you somewhere else. That is a really difficult, emotional time for a patient.

That's why I stand in opposition to this and why I think this conversation is focused around that particular practice. So Chicago Chapter of Now is opposed to this. Men4Choice is opposed to this. Twelve members of the City Council wrote a letter talking about why we think this is a particular way to use infrastructure money for a hospital system that offers this kind of doctrine which should be completely agnostic in terms of religious doctrine when we're talking about an individual's healthcare.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Alderman, you're making a great speech.

ALDERMAN ARENA: Thank you. I'm sorry, sir, my --

CHAIRMAN BURKE: No, just a minute. I'm not going to try to cut you off. My point is that those remarks should be entertained if and when there's a motion rather than when we're questioning the witnesses. Now if you have a question for the witness, please --

ALDERMAN ARENA: Well, I'll --

CHAIRMAN BURKE: -- pose it.

ALDERMAN ARENA: I'm sorry. But others have pointed directly to some of the things that were stated in opposition as, you know -- and were not cut off, so I

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

just thought I should start with where my position is.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: I understand.

ALDERMAN ARENA: So let me ask you this religious, ethical and religious directives for Catholic healthcare services, what is your statement about how that policy applies to providing services to my constituents?

THE WITNESS: Well, through the right of
Healthcare Conscience Act we are required and we have
written into our policies and trained all of our
providers to counsel and to give care and to transition
care safely.

as we mentioned before really ten percent of our physicians are employed. The other 90 percent are independent. Some of them have relationships at neighboring hospitals. Some of them have relationships with some of our federally qualified clinics that are in our geography, Erie Health, Community First, Prime Care, and are able to provide all services through those clinics.

So there -- in terms of what happens within the walls of the hospital, we are, indeed, bound by the ethical and religious directives. But, again, I

think that is somewhat tempered by the right of
Healthcare Conscience Act which requires our physicians
to make sure that we're offering alternatives and we're
counseling alternatives and giving good, safe handoffs of
care.

ALDERMAN ARENA: And I appreciate that. We talked about this idea of hand-offs of care and transition of services is really what is of most concern to me because I've seen in actions of this Council in terms of mental health services where we were told absolutely that transition of care would be monitored and happen, and we know that the outcomes now were not productive.

Again, back to my point of what are the results of those -- how do you track whether transitions of care are actually successful or not? Do you know based on this what happens after you say sorry, we can't talk to you or we will not counsel you on the whole person because this particular aspect of the person, we have a religious doctrine that governs. So how do you track and monitor and make sure that the results are positive? And how can you say -- can you say here that 100 percent of those transitions are successful and productive and everybody is served?

THE WITNESS: Well, that's certainly how we've trained our providers, so I would hope that I could say 100 percent.

ALDERMAN ARENA: But you can't.

THE WITNESS: If there are any outliers --

ALDERMAN ARENA: Just be honest. You don't need to sugar coat it.

THE WITNESS: -- I'd want to be aware. We do share electronic medical records with some of these partners that I've named, so we have full transparency in terms of the transition of care and being able to follow their care, participate either electronically or verbally in their care and then have the transition back.

In some instances where we don't share the electronic medical record, for example, Erie Health, we have an agreement with them where we have a transition of the records through basically paper that we then on each side transition into the electronic medical record by scanning so that we have to the best of our abilities the continuity of the patient's case so that we can pick up the care when they come back to us for their ongoing continuity.

So we really do try to the best of our efforts with the technologies that are out there to make

sure that this is a smooth transition. These referrals are not necessarily blind referrals into the community. These are organizations with whom we've built up relationships over many, many years. Some of them we have formal business relationships with. So we do feel confident that they're getting high quality care. We would only refer to facilities that we know are providing safe care and only facilities that we know would communicate with us and collaborate with us in the care of that patient.

ALDERMAN ARENA: And that's appreciated. I would hope -- I would think and I'm sure that the intent is there and what you say that you hope for.

The problem is you can't answer my question which is -- and what I believe from my experience is that as many times as we'd like to believe that everything goes well once they walk out the door that's not what happens and you can't tell me -- and I know the answer to this question. You can't tell me 100 percent that it is. You can't tell me whether it's 25 percent successful or 75 percent successful.

My concern is that historically and I think -- historically it seems that we provided healthcare agnostically, and going forward I think we

1.9

need to go back to that.

So the policy exists. It's fine that your hospital adheres to that, but I disagree that the policy should be applied at all, and that's not the -- that's neither here nor there. You're testifying that that's the policy you follow. I disagree that this policy is the most successful, would lead to the most successful outcomes that we would like to see especially in neighborhoods where the position that a patient is in at that emotional time when they're looking for counseling on those types of services, when they're most vulnerable and when they're seeing the travel times and the access drop exponentially. I just don't believe that's the best course of action, and I don't feel confident supporting it with public dollars, so that's my statement on the matter.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Thank you, Alderman.

Any other questions?

Alderman Sposato.

ALDERMAN SPOSATO: Thank you, Chairman.

Thank you for coming out this afternoon.

I don't know if many people know -- I talked to Alderman

Villegas. He's well aware of it. It happened before his

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

time, but about four years ago that hospital was on the verge of closing, and there was a panic in the neighborhood with the doctors that worked there. I know many of them aren't really -- I know how it works, so ten percent as you said. I met with the doctors. Presence saved my community, northwest side basically. We were worried about a hospital desert in our community.

Once again, I know you heard what I said over here already, been there not as Presence but at that particular hospital probably had about -- since I've been in this neighborhood my whole life, I'm 59 years old, probably had 10 or 12 different names since 1958.

Years back when I was a little guy, they didn't have the best reputation when it was Northwest Hospital and whatever else it was, but things have turned around. A great addition. It's not only an addition to the community but great management nowadays. Good things have been done and I appreciate -- you could pass it on to the powers that be at Presence. Thank you for investing in our community. It's much needed. Like I say, they serve Dunning, Portage, Belmont-Cragin, Austin, Jefferson Park, many communities. I appreciate you guys for everything you do. If you could pass it on to the powers that be, I would appreciate it, and continue to

look forward to working with you guys on whatever need to.

Of course, I'm a big help. And I don't know if you guys are aware of it. You have a big fall run, a 5K run at Portage Park. I'm glad to be part of that along with Alderman Villegas. Anything you need from me I'm there, and I appreciate all you guys do.

Thank you very much for saving my community from being a hospital desert.

Thank you, Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Alderman Tunney.

ALDERMAN TUNNEY: Mr. Chairman, I just want to be on record fully supporting Presence.

I was involved at the time in the relocation, and I know this Administration was very, very proactive about bringing you downtown. I know that you had choices, and it was very important for the Mayor then and most of us are the same City Council to provide that support for you to come in.

I know we've talked on both sides of it.

You know, I think a lot of us are realists and

pragmatists and not necessarily purists. I'm actually a

neighbor. I live right next door, and it's amazing the

diversity of the staff and the patients, and I've had ups

2.4

and downs with St. Joe's. I'm telling you they have improved under Presence. They have committed to these underserved neighborhoods, and I'm just proud to be a neighbor and to see the investment.

I remember what happened right after I became alderman. We lost Columbus Hospital, and the Mayor at the time said to me I want a commitment for my hospitals to be in planned developments, and my property along the lakefront is very, very valuable, and what Presence did or the predecessor was to commit to the City for healthcare. They spent millions -- I think it's \$100,000,000 even in Lakeview. Never mind what they're doing all over the city.

I know a lot has been said. But I think we as elected officials have done a lot in terms of supporting Catholic organizations with TIF dollars.

So I don't know why Presence is being held as a scapegoat for things that we have done as a Council for years and years and years. I believe that they truly deserve for their employment, for their good services around the City and I'm happy -- I would ask for a motion to move do pass if it would be in the Chairman's interest.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: All right. Would you hold that please until we conclude the testimony?

Are there any other questions of these two witnesses?

ALDERMAN ARENA: Point of information

CHAIRMAN BURKE: The Chair recognizes Alderman

Arena on a point of information.

ALDERMAN ARENA: Just want to be clear.

Community First Hospital is not -- is or is not a

Presence --

MR. SNYDER: That is not a Presence Health hospital. We do have contractual relationships with Community First Hospital for services and physicians and so forth, but they are not part of the Presence Health family.

ALDERMAN ARENA: So that is -- to be clear, Presence has acquired that in the cause of opposition to some of the points that I have made by the doctors, staff there was meetings there, and ultimately that was sold and constituted as Community First under a law that was passed in this state that allowed for corporations that were non-for-profit and literally are public benefit corporations. So I applaud Community First and the way they -- their business practices because they pay for services that don't take profit until everything else is served. It's exactly what I would love to see your

\_

institution and other institutions like that that are providing healthcare services model themselves as.

So just to be clear, that there was a transition there, and right now my community is served by Community First which is not a Presence Hospital.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Any other questions?

Alderman Harris.

ALDERMAN HARRIS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Just for point of clarity because I'm in receipt of a letter from my colleague that states that even in the event of medical emergencies you never do abortions. So I just want to make sure it's clear that in your statement you said in the event -- and I'd like you to read it for the record.

THE WITNESS: In the event of a medical emergency, an abortion would be performed. If it's a life-saving procedure, it will be done.

ALDERMAN HARRIS: So other than that, every reproductive issue, they're referred out?

THE WITNESS: Some are. Some aren't depending on the medical necessity and the medical indication for the procedure.

ALDERMAN HARRIS: So for contraceptives, you

would say it's between the doctor and the patient relationship. So if the doctor prescribes -- the doctor can prescribe contraception?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

ALDERMAN HARRIS: Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Alderman Reilly.

ALDERMAN REILLY: Just because we've gone down this line of questioning, I have one question. So in the case of a medical emergency, you would perform that procedure?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

ALDERMAN REILLY: But in the cases of rape or incest, you would not?

THE WITNESS: An abortion, no, because that would be considered elective. Only if it's a medical emergency. However, we do stock Plan B in our emergency rooms, and in cases of sexual assault, our physicians do use Plan B.

ALDERMAN REILLY: In instances of -- I'm sure -- I hope it's rare -- incest, same, the same applies?

THE WITNESS: Yes. That would be under the same category.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

ALDERMAN REILLY: Thank you. The statement is made not for questioning, Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Any other questions of these two witnesses?

Alderman Cappleman.

ALDERMAN CAPPLEMAN: Just a quick question.

My understanding, my experience is it's the relationship of the patient with the physician that -- it's out of that relationship that the physician -- I work with that physician. So if I have a physician who believes in abortion, that physician could refer me to another facility to get that elective abortion?

THE WITNESS: Yes. And if you have a physician who doesn't believe in abortion, they're still legally and ethically obligated to refer you.

ALDERMAN CAPPLEMAN: Okay. Does that physician take any oath or is there any requirement that that physician follow Roman Catholic Church teachings for abortions?

THE WITNESS: When our physicians join the medical staff, we ask them to review the ethical and religious directives so that when they're practicing within the walls of our facility that they have an

understanding of what they're being asked to do. When they're outside of those walls, even if they have an affiliation with us, their care is under their clinical judgment and not influenced by us.

ALDERMAN CAPPLEMAN: So a physician does not have to believe the Roman Catholic Church teachings and still practice as a physician?

THE WITNESS: Absolutely. We have physicians of all religious backgrounds, all ethnicities. We have an extremely diverse medical staff and nursing staff as well.

ALDERMAN CAPPLEMAN: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Any other questions of these two witnesses?

(No response.)

Alderman O'Shea raised some issues about history and legacy, and I noticed you were conversing with one of the good Sisters of Mercy who's here today. It might be interesting to the members of the City Council to know that John Evans served in the City Council from 1853 to 1855. John Evans founded the first hospital in Chicago, Lakeside Hospital, which became Mercy Hospital, and it was John Evans who also founded the Republican party of Illinois and founded Northwestern

University and invited the Sisters of Mercy from Dublin after writing to Mother McCauley to come to his first ever hospital in Chicago, Lakeside Hospital, which he then two years later turned over to the Mercy nuns who renamed it Mercy Hospital. Today it is the oldest hospital in Chicago founded by Dr. Evans who was a Chicago alderman representing the 2nd Ward.

So hopefully, Alderman O'Shea, that expands your knowledge of Chicago history and some of our predecessors just a little bit.

Any other questions?
Alderman Cappleman.

ALDERMAN CAPPLEMAN: I just wanted to add to that history. Mercy Sisters, when I was a Franciscan in Detroit, Sister Agnes Mary Monsour, a Mercy Sister, worked for the City of Detroit and was asked by the Bishop to step down from her office because the Detroit hospitals were providing abortion under her auspices and she refused to do that because she believed it was unethical to step down. She ended up leaving the order because the Bishop required it, but the Sisters of Mercy allowed her to stay in their convent because of their belief of supporting Sister Mary Agnes Monsour.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Any other questions of these two witnesses?

(No response.)

Thank you very much, Doctor. Thank you very much.

Law and Planning, we have a question from Alderman Hairston about but for.

Alderman Hairston. Would you care to restate your question, Alderman Hairston?

ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: So what was the basis for the TIF dollars?

MR. HASTINGS: So, again, for the record, my name is Chip Hastings, Managing Deputy Commissioner of the Department of Planning.

We received a request, we initially received a request from Presence Health back in 2011 I believe it was or 2012. At the time they were contemplating several different locations for their new headquarters, most of them regionally, if I remember correctly. As part of our analysis and underwriting and eventually coming to the conclusion that 5.5 was sufficient and reasonable. We looked at the costs for the relocation for each --

ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: You're dancing all around

2.4

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

I know that you figured that the 5.5 was reasonable because that's what we're considering today.

MR. HASTINGS: Right.

ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: My question is: What was the but for test because it's -- Wacker is not a blighted It's not a conservation area, so I'm trying to figure out how did you rationalize using TIF dollars for this project. What was the standard?

MR. HASTINGS: I'll defer the legal question to Susan Lopez, the attorney, but from our perspective, underwriting, in coming to the amount, the analysis --

ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: I want to know about the I had not asked that question. I know what the number is. So if I can get my answer.

MS. LOPEZ: I'm Susan Lopez, Chief Assistant Corporation Counsel from the Law Department.

The but for test that's required in the TIF Act goes to the establishment of the TIF area itself. When the LaSalle Central TIF area was established, the consultants determined that but for the establishment of the TIF area --

ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: That was when the TIF was created.

MS. LOPEZ: Right.

2.4

ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: I was actually here when it was done, so I get how TIFs are created. My question is: With this particular project, when you all decided that \$5.5 million was a good spend of the TIF dollars, what was the reason.

MS. LOPEZ: The but for test is not required for individual projects. Nevertheless --

ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: Okay.

MS. LOPEZ: -- Planning has its own policies.

ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: Okay. All right. That's

11 | really all I needed to know.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Thank you, Alderman.

Any other questions of Planning or Law?

Alderman Arena.

ALDERMAN ARENA: Just to continue what the -our attorney said, and this is for Mr. Hastings, does the
Planning Department ever use a but for test in
determining whether an individual allocation is
appropriate or not?

MR. HASTINGS: I think the term but for is a bit of a misnomer because it's a legal term. What we do is an analysis based on the numbers that we're presented with, a cost benefit analysis for the potential of them

relocating out of the City versus the benefits that will inure to the City if they're -- if we're able to sustain them here in the south central loop in this case.

ALDERMAN ARENA: So that analysis leads you to whether somebody would make a decision to locate at this particular location or some other location or whether a project would be developed?

MR. HASTINGS: Correct.

www.veritext.com

ALDERMAN ARENA: So if it's within that analysis that the "whether or not" or but for this assistance a corporation or a business would make the decision to invest in Chicago?

MR. HASTINGS: Yes.

ALDERMAN ARENA: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Any other questions?

Alderman Hairston.

ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: Now I'm a little more confused. So in the analysis -- so this 5.5 million for the Wacker location was to rehab the offices?

MR. HASTINGS: They were rehabbing -- they did rehab an existing office space, yes.

ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: And that is complete. So they don't need the money in order to complete it; right?

MR. HASTINGS: When I initially began

Veritext Legal Solutions

888-391-3376

attempting to answer your question, I think I opened with we initially received the request in 2011.

ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: I don't need you to be flip.

MR. HASTINGS: I apologize.

ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: I was trying to get my question answered, and I was trying to get the answer which obviously she was supposed to answer, but I really do not appreciate your smart comment. I'm just trying to get the information. You all are the ones that want this project passed. You're the ones, so the least thing -- and I'm entitled to ask questions. That is my job to ask questions about the project, to ask questions about things that I don't understand, specifically when you're using taxpayer dollars that is very closely tied to religious beliefs. So forgive me if it is offensive that I am asking these questions, but I am entitled to ask these questions.

So the project is finished; right?

MR. HASTINGS: Yes.

ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: So they were able to complete it without the TIF dollars, so it would come off the back end; right?

MR. HASTINGS: Yes.

2.

ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: So I'm just not understanding -- and so we thought that -- the Planning Department thought this was a good idea to rehab their offices because?

MR. HASTINGS: I apologize. I was -- I'm attempting to answer the question. To the best of my ability, the answer is this: We evaluated their request based on the financial information we had about their potential relocation to the sites to which they were potentially going to relocate. We did an economic analysis based on those costs against what we could try to do, in this case the TIF assistance, to close that gap to retain them downtown.

In doing so -- that conversation started back in 2012. I just point that out because it's been several years, and over the course of those several years, the deal has evolved from a headquarters deal to a headquarters deal which we were also able to leverage several medical home facilities.

ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: And I haven't had a grocery store since 2011, but, you know, so big stuff happens, right, from Planning and Development.

I just -- so even over the course of the years -- you were filling in the gap because they

couldn't afford the rent or you were filling in the gap between what and what?

MR. HASTINGS: The costs associated with their potential relocation sites for the headquarters. Again, it was several years ago. I believe two of them were in the suburbs. One of them might have been downstate. I can check and provide that information through the Chair. But the cost for the leases there, the cost for ownership versus leasing those facilities, the cost for building out those facilities versus the costs -- the TIF is intended to offset those costs in an attempt to retain the 200 jobs at this location within Chicago.

ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: Well, not the ones downstate, right, and not the ones the other places. I'm talking about Wacker.

MR. HASTINGS: I'm talking about Wacker as well. When we originally underwrote the deal, when we looked at the benefit of retaining the company here and the costs associated with that, what we looked at was trying to balance the costs they would incur with relocating elsewhere.

ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: But retaining the company is doing a rehab. I mean -- and so am I to assume that they just had to be on Wacker, that they could not have

1.0

2.4

been on Michigan or Indiana or Prairie or anywhere else, that they just had to be here so that we could give them this money?

MR. HASTINGS: So I would defer to Presence in terms of how they concluded that this was the location they wanted to be at, but when we were evaluating the deal, we were evaluating the deal based on trying to retain them at their preferred location versus outside the City.

ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: Okay. Thank you.

MR. HASTINGS: You're welcome.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Any other questions?

(No response.)

Thank you, Law. Thank you, Planning.

Any other questions?

I think Mr. Blakemore wanted to comment on

17 this.

1

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

WHEREUPON:

GEORGE BLAKEMORE,

testified before the Committee on Finance as follows:

THE WITNESS: At 76, I broke one of the concerned citizen rules. I fell asleep. So I guess that's the way you all feel when I come up and speak, that you don't have to listen. You breaking the rule.

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

Anyway, dealing with comprehensive healthcare with dignity and respect at this particular of those hospitals, Presence, Catholic charity has not been a friend of the black community. They are the ones who endorsed our immigration and naturalization laws for sanctuary. That's their religious feeling even though it goes against the law, and the City have entered that sanctuary against the immigration law. So I know we're talking about comprehensive healthcare with dignity and respect.

My question to these people if they're still here, Presence, who -- how many blacks are on your board; how much money do you spend on undocumented people using your healthcare system and how much money do you spend by race also on the black community? And it is in our great nation, in our democracy so-called a separation of government and religion, to give this money to a religious organization when we have government hospitals here, John Stroger Hospital, and when we have a city that revived a health department.

It's no way to make sure that these dollars are used in the interest of all the citizens of our great city when some will choose an abortion. You do not provide it, but you come to this entity to get money.

It's ridiculous.

If I was an alderman, I'm just a concerned citizen, I would not vote for these reasons that I've already stated. It's not your business to give money to these religious organizations.

In a few minutes -- I mean perhaps you're gonna go and give money to those schools too, and they're doing that, and some government taxes and whatever.

You didn't do that when these other people came up, when that lady came up with the union. I'm talking about even before she started asking -- or you started asking her questions. I kept getting up then telling -- indicating that it was time for her. These previous black women that spoke, you had that clock ticking there. So it's a lot of unequality and unjust that is going on here with this Body.

I see now cell phones, the writing. You all are arrogant, arrogant. But you won't show security people but your policemen in our global city to give protection is a safety hazard. You don't want them to be on the cell phone when they out on Michigan Avenue. They supposed to be watching these people. So the same standards. You all do not set good standards for our citizens. So the citizens see how you act so they can

act accordingly.

2.

So -- don't go there with that hitting that. You stop it.

Over 47 years and all this money being spent. What I've heard today is just atrocious. It's bad public policy from these -- from this money, these settlements and all. The City reflects you, and the reason the shenanigan going on because you all allow this to happen. Known as a corrupt city but it's a beautiful city, a city of -- a tale of two cities.

So I would not -- I urge you -- but you gonna do it. Who am I to say do not go there giving these people the money. And how are you -- MBEs and WBEs, whatever, this contract. Ask these questions -- how many or what do you -- and they're hiring from the nurses and all -- how many are black, how many vendors are black that provide services to that hospital? It's many questions.

If I had been an alderman, I would have drilled them real bad, bad, bad. When you do it, I'm going to do it. So monkey do, monkey see. When you start bam, bam, then I can bam, bam. Who are you? And who am I? We're both equal citizens of our global city, and you allow a lot of shenanigans to go on these 47

	Page 112
1	years. You do not use your power.
2	CHAIRMAN BURKE: Any other questions?
3	(No response.)
4	All right. Alderman Harris wants to be
5	present for the closing debate on this matter. I'm led
6	to believe she's on her way.
7	In the meantime, before we call the
8	matter, Alderman Tunney, you have a matter on the agenda,
9	do you not?
10	ALDERMAN TUNNEY: I had a motion on the
11	matter.
12	CHAIRMAN BURKE: All right. I guess yours is
13	being introduced on Wednesday. I misspoke. All right.
14	We have Item Number 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13
15	which are routine matters.
16	Alderman Zalewski moves to recommend do
17	pass on those matters.
18	In the meantime, Alderman Matt O'Shea
19	moves to recommend do pass on this matter.
20	Is there any debate?
21	And I would like to recognize Alderman
22	Reilly as a close because this matter is in the 42nd
23	Ward.

On the motion by Alderman O'Shea.

1 ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: Roll call.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Alderman Harris is coming back.

On the motion by Alderman O'Shea, Alderman Harris is recognized.

ALDERMAN HARRIS: Thank you.

Today, Chairman, I just want to say thank you. As a woman, you know, I share issues of reproductive health myself, and I take it to heart that people who are not always in the same position that I've been in my entire life, to be an assured person with choices, and I take that issue so seriously.

Additionally, I've offered and I've been given a copy of the policy that clearly demonstrates their commitment to discussing all available services with their patients and the fact that they refer individuals out in a timely fashion.

Additionally, Presence Health has also stocked in their emergency rooms the Plan B, and physicians can provide contraceptive care without questions to victims of sexual abuse.

So I've listened very closely to each side, and I'm not discounting any of the issues that my colleagues have said or have expressed, but I'm asking

that we support this Ordinance.

My facility would be the last one that would be done, so clearly the others have all been remodeled, redone. However, my facility on Stony Island has not been.

Now it's an older place. It's been there. Dr. Gomez Charleston was there for years as a primary care physician, and when they bought the facility, he decided that it was time, as an older person that he wanted to leave and start on a new portion of his life, so they've been looking for a primary care physician to come there and replace as the primary caregiver for that facility.

I'm asking my colleagues to support this.

It's something that's needed as our community is transitioning and getting older, for people that live there to have options in care.

Advocate Trinity is merely blocks away, and they share some of the same policies that Presence does. So there's never been issues in that community, and even when I was an Advocate member, there were never issues with my reproductive healthcare that Trinity, Advocate Trinity did not take care of. They may not do it. If they don't do it, they give you a referral.

So these places exist all over the City of Chicago, some of the same issues all across the board, and so I'm asking everybody again for their support of this.

Again, the facility is something that's needed in an age where hospitals are just not living and surviving every day and so that our communities of color have options.

So thank you, and thank you for allowing me to catch my breath.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Relax. We may have to get that doctor over here.

ALDERMAN HARRIS: Yeah, I'm telling you.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Is there anyone else who wishes to be heard?

Alderman Hopkins.

ALDERMAN HOPKINS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

This is a highly personal issue. I spent the better part of Wednesday afternoon at the bedside of a very dear friend of mine who is fighting cancer. He received his 16th chemo treatment, and the prognosis at this point we're unsure, but he's receiving outstanding care. I had the occasion during the course of the afternoon to talk to some of his caregivers, his

oncologists, some of the technicians. At no point did it occur to us to ask about their position on women's reproductive rights, about their politics, who they may have voted for for president, what their religious background might be. None of that matters.

Cancer is a disease that I would venture to assume has touched the lives of everyone in this room. If not a friend, perhaps a family member, a loved one, someone close to you. Perhaps you had a scare yourself. When you have to deal with cancer, the only thing that matters is getting the best quality care that you can possibly find and getting the treatment that we're all entitled to with dignity, with professionalism and with state-of-the-art equipment. That's what we mean when we say we're battling cancer, we're fighting cancer, when we wear purple a couple of times a year, when we participate in a 5k to raise money or when we fund a new cancer facility which we have done in the 2nd Ward under the terms of an agreement that actually predated my assumption of the office of the alderman of the 2nd Ward, an agreement in form and in concept if not in law that requires us at this point to honor our half of the agreement.

Saints Mary and Elizabeth Hospital has a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

cancer treatment center that you and I, Chairman Burke, had the privilege of attending the ribbon cutting ceremony for. It is treating 13,000 patients, 13,000 of our neighbors in Chicago who are suffering from the disease of cancer, and that was part of this redevelopment agreement when it was conceptualized years ago.

It is operational today, and it is an outstanding facility. If you'll indulge me, I'm just going to read a couple of sentences from the redevelopment agreement that describes what this facility is doing in the 2nd Ward. Patient and family centered care will be enhanced through enhanced radiation, oncology, imaging capabilities which will be updated to current market standards, financial counseling services to help patients who have difficulty paying their bills, dedicated dietetic services for cancer patients, a patient centered reception area focused on education and a community resource center. The cancer center will have private treatment rooms, an in-house pharmacy, a dedicated personal care navigator and a community room for support groups, educational and social activities. All this being provided by one of the largest Medicaid providers in the city.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.

3

4

5

6

7

\_

\_

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

24

These are low income families. These are low income patients, many who have no insurance or who are underinsured, and they're getting first-class cancer care comparable to anything you would find at Northwestern or at Lurie Cancer Center, and this is what Presence Healthcare System agreed to when we made this redevelopment agreement with them. They are upholding their end of the bargain in the 2nd Ward.

We are obligated, and I say this as a pro-choice Catholic, we are obligated to uphold our end of the agreement and to support this financial incentive that they have earned.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Anyone else wish to be heard?

(No response.)

To close, Alderman Reilly.

ALDERMAN REILLY: Thank you, Chairman.

So I've been sitting here like everyone listening to the back and forth on this issue, and I think it would be helpful if I could provide a little bit of context.

As Alderman Hopkins just mentioned, this has been a proposal that has been kicking around for close to six years now. The headquarters for Presence

Health is located at 200 South Wacker which used to be in the old 2nd Ward before the remap dismantled that jurisdiction. It then came into the 42nd Ward. So I know that a number of the initial conversations about this idea and this proposal took place I believe with Alderman Fioretti and members of the Administration.

About a year ago apparently this became a priority again, and at that time Presence Health came to visit with me to bring me up to speed on the proposal and help me understand the request.

I want to say for the record that Presence Health -- I'd like to give them a compliment. They did their best to answer every single question I had. They were professional. I know for a fact that they are an excellent healthcare provider, and I want to talk about that a little bit.

I mean in perspective here -- especially because my father is a doctor who runs big hospitals.

Most recently he ran Cook County Hospital. He was the Chairman of Medicine there for a couple of decades.

Before that he actually worked for a Catholic hospital in Rochester, New York, St. Mary's Hospital, an inner city hospital that did almost all of its business with Medicaid patients serving the poorest neighborhoods and

2.2

communities in Rochester, New York.

This was 25 years ago, but St. Mary's made the decision all the way back then that a really smart investment was in these neighborhood health clinics, getting healthcare closer to the client where they live. This was something that was not in fashion 25 years ago, but it was something that my father and a few other doctors pioneered, and it was something that then Mario Cuomo, governor of New York, decided to replicate for his state to be building on these healthcare clinics.

So what Presence is doing here now, the investments that they are making in these neighborhoods, this is all good stuff, and I think it's excellent healthcare policy. So I appreciate the team at Presence Health for the time they've spent with me trying to persuade me to support this.

As Alderman Burke mentioned, this is a TIF request for TIF funds from within the 42nd Ward.

Although I have had my own concerns about this as a matter of public policy, subsidizing healthcare systems that do not provide reproductive healthcare services for women, I didn't want this bottled up in Committee.

Because there are healthcare clinics involved, I thought it was something the entire Council should debate. So

Ω

rather than just keeping it bottled up and speaking with the Administration and Chairman Burke, it was decided this could proceed for a vote.

My issue here is not with the quality of care that Presence Health provides. They have a sterling reputation. They serve many thousands of medical clients, and they do it very well. For me the issue comes down to should the City of Chicago be providing a public subsidy, city tax dollars, to fund a hospital system that does not provide those basic reproductive health services.

For me as a pro-choice, also Catholic, I can't support this request. What I've told my colleagues that do support this because they're either getting a healthcare clinic or for some other reason, this is not something I'm taking personally at all, and I'm not looking at this as a 42nd Ward issue. It touches nearly every ward in the City.

But I guess St. Mary's many years ago when they made these investments in the inner city building all these healthcare clinics did not ask for or receive a red penny to do so. They did it because they found it was more cost effective in providing a high level of care for their clients. They also found it was a great way to

boost enrollment and getting people enrolled in Medicaid, people that were otherwise relying upon emergency rooms for all of their basic healthcare services which is incredibly costly, so St. Mary's made that choice on their own.

Again, I credit Presence Health for, one, for keeping their headquarters here in the City of Chicago. I'm glad to have them as a stakeholder in the 42nd Ward, and I'm glad they're making these critical investments in these neighborhoods by building out these clinics. They're sorely needed.

For me personally as a pro-choice alderman, I can't support this request because it involves public tax dollars subsidizing this particular mission which does not provide reproductive healthcare services for all women. Therefore, I would be voting no.

But I wanted to share the perspective in the context because this has been going on -- this has been discussed for many, many years.

The last thing I want to say is to my colleague Alderman Hopkins about commitments being made. Because this has been discussed for so long -- this was actually something that started with Alderman Fioretti, and whatever commitments Mr. Fioretti may have made at

the time at this point are moot because this City Council never executed an agreement. That's what we're here to do today.

vote no are shirking an obligation or making the City a bad faith actor in negotiating. I think each alderman here has to vote yes or no based on their conscience.

I'm certainly not going to judge anybody who votes yes or no. That's up to us as policy makers.

So to be very clear, I'll be voting no today, but that's not a comment on the high level of care and the excellent service Presence Health provides to their clients. I think they do a tremendous job, and I'm glad to have them in my ward.

So, Chairman, thank you for indulging me and allowing me to explain how we got here and how I plan to vote, but I feel like that was important before we closed and entertained a motion.

Thank you, Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BURKE: Very good.

Now, on Alderman O'Shea's motion to recommend do pass, all those in favor signify by the usual sign of aye.

ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: I asked for a roll call.

1.0

	Page 124
1	CHAIRMAN BURKE: I'm sorry. Call the roll.
2	MS. KAIDEN: O'Connor?
3	VICE-CHAIRMAN O'CONNOR: Aye.
4	MS. KAIDEN: Moreno?
5	(No response.)
6	Dowell?
7	ALDERMAN DOWELL: No.
8	MS. KAIDEN: Hairston?
9	ALDERMAN HAIRSTON: No.
10	MS. KAIDEN: Sawyer?
11	(No response.)
12	Mitchell?
13	(No response.)
14	Harris?
15	ALDERMAN HARRIS: Yes.
16	MS. KAIDEN: Beale?
17	ALDERMAN BEALE: Yes.
18	MS. KAIDEN: Thompson?
19	(No response.)
20	Cardenas?
21	ALDERMAN CARDENAS: No.
22	MS. KAIDEN: Quinn?
23	(No response.)
24	Foulkes?

	Page 125
1	(No response.)
2	Kurtis?
3	(No response.)
4	O'Shea?
5	ALDERMAN O'SHEA: Aye.
6	MS. KAIDEN: Cochran?
7	(No response.)
8	Brookins?
9	(No response.)
10	Munoz?
11	(No response.)
12	Zalewski?
13	ALDERMAN ZALEWSKI: Aye.
14	MS. KAIDEN: Solis?
15	(No response.)
16	Maldonado?
17	(No response.)
18	Burnett?
19	ALDERMAN BURNETT: Aye.
2 0	MS. KAIDEN: Ervin?
21	ALDERMAN ERVIN: Yes.
22	MS. KAIDEN: Reboyras?
23	(No response.)
24	Waguespack?

	Page 126
1	ALDERMAN WAGUESPACK: No.
2	MS. KAIDEN: Austin?
3	(No response.)
4	Mitts?
5	(No response.)
6	Sposato?
7	ALDERMAN SPOSATO: Aye.
8	MS. KAIDEN: Laurino?
9	ALDERMAN LAURINO: Aye.
10	MS. KAIDEN: Reilly?
11	ALDERMAN REILLY: No.
12	MS. KAIDEN: Tunney?
13	ALDERMAN TUNNEY: Aye.
14	MS. KAIDEN: Arena?
15	ALDERMAN ARENA: No.
16	MS. KAIDEN: Osterman?
17	ALDERMAN OSTERMAN: No.
18	MS. KAIDEN: Joe Moore?
19	(No response.)
20	Silverstein?
21	(No response.)
22	Burke.
23	CHAIRMAN BURKE: Rule 14, Madam President.
24	I've represented the Mercy order in litigation which is

one of the board of directors of the hospital, and I was 1 the lawyer that represented Our Lady of the Resurrection in its application for a grant of authority for the expansion of 262 beds. 4 Alderman Sawyer, do you care to --5 ALDERMAN SAWYER: Aye. 6 CHAIRMAN BURKE: Alderman Sawyer votes aye. 7 Alderman Thompson votes aye. 8 Alderman Mitchell votes aye. 9 There are 13 yeahs, 7 nays. In the 10 opinion of the Chair, the motion prevails, and the 11 recommendation will be in the affirmative. 12 Is there anything further, ladies and 13 gentlemen? 14 (No response.) 15 Move to adjourn. ALDERMAN BURNETT: 16 CHAIRMAN BURKE: Alderman Burnett moves we 17 adjourn. 18 All those in favor signify by the usual 19 sign of aye. 20 (A chorus of ayes.) 21

(No response.)

In the opinion of the Chair, the ayes have

Opposed.

22

23

	Page 128
1	it.
2	The meeting stands adjourned.
3	(The meeting concluded at
4	1:06 p.m.)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

_	
	Page 129
1	STATE OF ILLINOIS )
	) ss.
2	COUNTY OF C O O K )
3	KELLY A. BRICHETTO, being first duly sworn, on
4	oath says that she is a Certified Shorthand Reporter
5	doing business in the City of Chicago, County of Cook and
6	State of Illinois;
7	That she reported in shorthand the proceedings
8	had at the Meeting of the Committee on Finance;
9	And that the foregoing is a true and correct
10	transcript of her shorthand notes so taken as aforesaid
11	and contains all the proceedings had at said Meeting.
12 13	Lilly Brichetto
	KELLY A. BRICHETTO, C.S.R.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	